

Why USPS doesn't celebrate rare net profit; and a big service-cut plan dies

By [Joe Davidson](#) February 14

After years of singing the blues, postal officials had reason for a praise song.

For the first time in almost five years, they [reported a U.S. Postal Service quarterly net profit](#). The \$307 million in net income for the first quarter of fiscal year 2016, which ended Dec. 31, is a \$1.1 billion turnaround from the \$754 million net loss during the same period a year earlier.

Sounds like cause for celebration. But maybe it's a matter of don't let the good news fool you.

During a conference call with reporters, USPS executives continued to accentuate the negative and play down the positive.

"We do face some strong headwinds," Postmaster General and Chief Executive Officer Megan J. Brennan said during last week's call. "Our financial situation is serious but solvable. That's the reality of the balance sheet."

Rather than the top person announcing the profit, and implicitly taking credit for it as Washington administrators generally do, that task was left to the second speaker, Chief Financial Officer and Executive Vice President Joseph Corbett. He wasn't celebrating.

The favorable net income was “essentially due to” a drop in workers’ compensation expenses related to prevailing interest rates, according to Corbett, and it doesn’t mean the Postal Service no longer loses money. “Excluding the favorable impact of interest rate changes and the exigent surcharge, we would be reporting a net loss of approximately \$700 million in the first quarter,” he said. “Absent legislative reform, the exigent surcharge is expected to roll back in April, and our losses will increase by approximately \$2 billion per year.”

With all that talk about the exigent surcharge, which is a temporary price hike, Corbett sounded like a real killjoy.

His tone contrasted sharply with that of the president of the National Association of Letter Carriers, Fredric Rolando, who heralded “[this impressive performance](#).”

“These results aren’t a fluke,” he said. “They stem from two structural factors: An improving economy has helped stabilize letter revenue, and Internet-driven online shopping has sent package volume sharply upward — up 16.2 percent over the first quarter of last year.”

The improving postal picture has some benefits for consumers. No longer is the Postal Service pushing to end Saturday mail delivery. That proposal had long been a staple in statements from postal officials who insisted the cut in service was necessary. Now they don’t mention it.

[Postal reform consensus develops; 5-day delivery dead]

“These results demonstrate that it is time to expand — not cut — the services we provide to the American people,” added Mark Dimondstein, president of the American Postal Workers Union.

Saying they wanted to continue providing “prompt, reliable and predictable service,” USPS officials had [previously postponed consolidating facilities](#), another plan affecting customers and employees that once had a prominent place on their agenda. The [number of postal workers](#) has steadily fallen since its peak of almost 800,000 in 1999. Now there are about 500,000. President Obama’s budget plans a 2 percent cut in postal employment, including at the Postal Regulatory Commission.

“The continuing financial upswing shows the importance of maintaining and strengthening the unparalleled — and profitable — postal network, which offers Americans the world’s most affordable delivery services,” Rolando said. The USPS quarterly operating profit of \$1.3 billion, he added, “builds on back-to-back years of operating profits each exceeding \$1 billion, without a dime of taxpayer money.”

Brennan praised the postal unions for cooperating with policies that have allowed the agency to employ some staff at a lower wage rate. The Postal Service operates on income from its products and services and not tax dollars, as other agencies do.

Despite the immediate financial picture, labor, management and industry mailers agree some legislative reforms are needed. Various organizations are converging on legislation that can potentially draw broad support. Congress has considered postal reform for years. But now, more than at any time recently, the possibility seems real.

A bill sponsored by Sen. Thomas R. Carper (D-Del.) would reform a financially burdensome obligation to prefund retiree health benefits, which has long been sharply criticized by union and postal officials. Under his bill, the Postal Service could provide new products and services, including the delivery of beer, wine and hard liquor. Eligible postal retirees would be required to participate in Medicare, and the 4.3 percent temporary surcharge would become permanent.

The “good news” about postal finances, Rolando said, is “consistent with — and reinforces — the emerging consensus among key lawmakers, the Postal Service, postal unions, businesses, mailers and industry groups to move forward with practical reform that all stakeholders can buy into.”

RGBenson

1:58 PM EST

The USPS sadly needs new sources of revenue, and not allowing them to provide some financial services through all its offices (which would be a real boon to rural customers) is denying them a good source of income.

If we had a "normal" legislature this would have already been done but with the nut-case GOP in charge they'd like to let the Post Office die before doing what's right!

Ignore User

LikeReportReplyShare

Hahahareally

9:08 AM EST

The USPS already delivers plenty of beer, wine, and liquor.

But it would be nice for that to be legal.

Ignore User

LikeReportReplyShare

1

delvesinto

8:13 AM EST

Direct mail advertising is a boon to business and politicians and USPS but a nuisance for the rest of us. Raise rates for advertising materials.

Ignore User

LikeReportReplyShare

rdw1260

4:51 AM EST

What's not understood is that it's going to be very hard for the USPS to stop Saturday delivery when it's increasing Sunday delivery of Amazon parcels. Part of the 16.2 increase is due to the Sunday delivery of Amazon parcels. It would be very hard for the USPS to process Amazon parcel shipments without Friday and Saturday processing. If we can solve the pre-funding burden on the USPS, the future will more than likely be better. No other federal agency has the pre-funding obligation that the USPS has. It's simply unfair!!

Ignore User

LikeReportReplyShare

1

Bill64738

2:49 AM EST

My services have already been cut. For a over month now my postman has shown up 2 to 3 days a week and delivered my mail 3 to 4 days late. If this is a beta test in Sleepy Hollow near Seven Corners, I'm not happy.

Ignore User

LikeReportReplyShare

rdw1260

4:40 AM EST

If you have not filed a complaint you should. However, the consolidation of processing plants has forced the USPS to decrease delivery standards for all classes of mail. As a city letter carrier, when I get it I deliver it.

Ignore User

LikeReportReply

No names okay

2/15/2016 8:25 PM EST

We don't need deliver 6 days a week. We can do MWF one week and TTh the next. If we alternate that way, we can cut the number of carriers in half. They might have more to deliver but they'll make the rounds half as often.

Saturday is a waste. We get most of our mail on Monday anyway.

Ignore User

LikeReportReplyShare

1

jonboy1989

2/15/2016 10:10 PM EST

Where do you work? I get sick of loud mouth people bitchn about the USPS when we have no idea where you all work, so just leave notice where it is you work, i am sure their is plenty to talk about your place of employment!!!!!!

Ignore User

LikeReportReply

2

bookman21

12:56 AM EST

In my business the Postal Service gets my product to my customers. I have been shipping six days per week for 12 years.

Ignore User

LikeReportReply

1

rdw1260

5:17 AM EST

Thank you for your complement. Most people do not understand what it takes to process mail and deliver it and the number people necessary to do that task. Are we perfect, no and neither is UPS and FedEx.

Ignore User

LikeReportShare

kaleberg

2/15/2016 12:41 PM EST

A profit is pretty amazing. Remember, the Post Office is one of the few entities that has fully funded the retirement pensions of future employees who haven't been born yet. Most pension money in private firms is disbursed as top executive pay.

I've always been amazed at the efficiency of the Post Office. Big shippers like Amazon have been impressed as well. Most of what I buy from Amazon arrives via USPS, sometimes even on a Sunday. Let's see a private firm do that.

Ignore User

LikeReportReplyShare

13

No names okay

2/15/2016 8:25 PM EST

You're right. We're generally happy with our service-- although some letters have been taking longer lately. It used to take just 24 hours along the East Coast. Now it's taking 5-6 days!

Ignore User

LikeReportReply

jonboy1989

2/15/2016 10:13 PM EST

This all has to do with cuts that were mentioned earlier. After the USPS supposedly wasted BILLIONS of dollars the last 5 years!!!!

Ignore User

LikeReportShare

rdw1260

5:20 AM EST

The consolidation of processing plants has forced the USPS to decrease delivery standards for all classes of mail. As a city letter carrier, when I get it, I deliver it.

Ignore User

LikeReportShare

Radiation King

2/15/2016 10:57 AM EST

“prompt, reliable and predictable service”

That would be a nice change of pace. I'm tired of receiving other's misdelivered mail and having my mail fall into the black hole of the USPS. If something is more important than a coupon pack or advertisement, never send it USPS.

Ignore User

LikeReportReplyShare

1

jonboy1989

2/15/2016 8:00 PM EST

you speak for the few!!!! AHO

Ignore User

LikeReportReply

maktoo

2/15/2016 10:56 AM EST

It's not the "Postal Rate Commission" - the agency changed its name to "Postal Regulatory Commission" at least 10 years ago. Not sure why the Washington Post hasn't caught on yet...

Ignore User

LikeReportReplyShare

2

robb32

2/15/2016 10:44 AM EST

Ok, let me re-educate Yahoo AGAIN, and all the USPS bashers...The USPS does exceptionally well, operates IN THE BLACK for most part, however is saddled by a profit skimming legislated under Bush to skim all the profits off the top unnecessarily, in order to bank money for "retirees" far beyond what four independent GAO audits have deemed

necessary...and that should be returned. I believe this is being used as slush money for the US Govt, to the tune of over 100 BILLION, making the USPS..the nation's SUGAR DADDY. Then they keep throwing crap at the wall trying to make the general public think the USPS is in trouble...it ISN'T, EXCEPT for the skimming by the federal Govt...in fact, they make billions in profit..and have only had one red qtr in the last 10..ASIDE from the theft of their operating revenue. The drive is to force everyone onto totally monitored e-commerce and eventually a cashless society where everything you do..is controlled. take a look yourself at the USPS profits..for the simplistic;...lets say you make a thousand dollars a week...then the feds come along and say you owe 5 thousand in taxes. ps..the USPS is the SOLE entity that this is required of, public OR Private....then say you are financially irresponsible..for their theft..and need to be reorganized under their control. Folks, they are fine..YOU are being scammed.

Ignore User

LikeReportReplyShare

8

And your bird can sing

2/15/2016 10:55 AM EST

If you had any facts to back up these assertions...well, you don't.

Ignore User

LikeReportReply

kaleberg

2/15/2016 12:43 PM EST

He's right. The USPS has to fully fund its retirement plan 75 years out. No one else has to do this. Many of the employees they have set aside money for haven't been born yet. The purpose was supposedly to provide secure postal employee pensions, but given the deviation from any customary pension funding standard, the true purpose was rather obvious, to undermine the post office's finances.

Ignore User

LikeReportShare

8

jgowiv

2/15/2016 1:14 PM EST

Actually you need to check out the PAEA 2006

[thinkprogress\(dot\)org/economy/2011/09/28/330524/postal-non-crisis-post-office-save-itself/](http://thinkprogress(dot)org/economy/2011/09/28/330524/postal-non-crisis-post-office-save-itself/)

"At the very end of that year, Congress passed the Postal Accountability and Enhancement Act of 2006 (PAEA). Under PAEA, USPS was forced to "prefund its future health care benefit payments to retirees for the next 75 years in an astonishing ten-year time span" — meaning that it had to put aside billions of dollars to pay for the health benefits of

employees it hasn't even hired yet, something "that no other government or private corporation is required to do."

Ignore User

LikeReportShare

5

Meeeeeeeeeeee

2/15/2016 2:48 PM EST

A bird that can sing... but sadly can't read and learn, so nothing it sings resembles facts. Sing your songs of purple skies and red grass little bird.

Ignore User

LikeReportShare

jonboy1989

2/15/2016 2:54 PM EST

why don't you show the facts to prove him wrong!!!!

Ignore User

LikeReportShare

Meeeeeeeeeeee

2/15/2016 3:29 PM EST [Edited]

So sad... poor Jonboy can't read either. jgowiv did just what you asked, and others have explained the pension fiasco Congress foisted upon the USPS, but still you rant. Ask Mommy to read the WHOLE THREAD and explain everything to you Jonboy.

Jonboy, name ONE Federal Agency that fully funds pensions for employees that still haven't been BORN yet costs the taxpayer not ONE DIME unless they actually use the service. Name ANYONE, person or company that will deliver an envelope from NY City to San Diego, California for 49 cents.

Jonboy, you are either extremely ignorant or a chronic malcontent. Thanks for playing.

Ignore User

LikeReportShare

2

jonboy1989

2/15/2016 8:04 PM EST

And your bird can sing!!!! Once again show me the facts against the real facts!! SMARTA

Ignore User

LikeReportShare

White Shirt and Tie Man

2/15/2016 9:56 AM EST

As a government service, the USPS doesn't run a profit any more than the Department of Defense or the State Department runs a profit. It's all voodoo economics, a shell game played by Congress, mainly worthless Republicans, in their usual quest to make government employees a scapegoat for no reason at all.

The lowest paid USPS employee is more valuable to the USA than any House Republican can ever hope to be.

Ignore User

LikeReportReplyShare

9

mflane

2/15/2016 9:59 AM EST

I was just going to say just that. It is a government service NOT a friggin' business and therefore there is no need for it to make a profit. Congress sure doesn't make a profit spinning it's wheels attempting to write budgets it never seems to pass.

Ignore User

LikeReportReply

4

robb32

2/15/2016 10:46 AM EST

wrong, they have made huge profits to the tune of 1.4 bilion the last qtr alone based on solid performance...it's the theft of their operating resevrev bythe Govt that gives them issues. not hard to run at a break even if the govt would stop impeding their ability to do so

Ignore User

LikeReportReply

4

Dee Moses

2/15/2016 12:17 PM EST

That's always a tough message: Any profit (money that's made after expenses) that the USPS makes has to go to the government. From what I can remember, that money was to be placed into an account that if circumstances permitted meaning "bad economical times" the USPS could use that money to help offset expenses. No one else could touch that money but the USPS. Nowadays they call money like that a "slush fund."

Ignore User

LikeReportShare

1

Meeeeeeeeeeee

2/15/2016 3:43 PM EST

Dee Moses, and as every other "slush fund" that is within the grasp of Congress, it most likely has been completely looted.

Ignore User

LikeReportShare

jgowiv

2/15/2016 1:16 PM EST

and it costs you nothing in taxes....

Ignore User

LikeReportReply

1

J Moses Browning

2/15/2016 9:02 AM EST

It's hard not to make a profit when you don't have to pay rent for your offices and buildings.

Yet the Post Office manages to lose money year after year.

Sell it all off.

Ignore User

LikeReportReplyShare

jonboy1989

2/15/2016 9:49 AM EST

You are pretty smart the buildings are owned by the Postal Service! Also the offices that are located in independently owned buildings they sure do pay rent!!!! I assume if your mortgage is paid in full you still pay a monthly payment on your home? That would make perfect sense!! BA

Ignore User

LikeReportReply

1

White Shirt and Tie Man

2/15/2016 9:53 AM EST

USPS leases offices, equipment, pays contractors and airlines to haul mail. It also contracts with UPS for the "last mile" of routing, allowing UPS to advertise faster delivery times than actually achieved.

Ignore User

LikeReportReply

2

robb32

2/15/2016 10:48 AM EST

and DHL and fedx

Ignore User

LikeReportShare

1

Dee Moses

2/15/2016 12:32 PM EST

Plus pay taxes too on office space. Why do we have so many commentators stating bad information to the public? They have no clue on how many people the USPS touch to fulfill the gaps in between and the many businesses and communities they affect.

The USPS is one of the largest corporation in the U.S. No other entity can fulfill what they do and have done since it began. Please stop trying to destroy what has been created and let the USPS continue to do the best job they can and not have them hit from everywhere with no facts to back it up. I can honestly say "There is no other like the USPS." Find me one business, corporation, entity that is PERFECT. I rest my case.

Ignore User

LikeReportReply

2

jgowiv

2/15/2016 1:17 PM EST

as a former budget guy for the USPS.... they do indeed pay rent AND taxes on leased facilities... you are a lemming... btw why sell something that costs you NOTHING unless you use it

Ignore User

LikeReportReply

1

Skeptic1

2/15/2016 7:43 AM EST

"With all that talk about the exigent surcharge, which is a temporary price hike, Corbett sounded like a real killjoy."

Giving an accurate assessment is being "a real killjoy"?

Ignore User

LikeReportReplyShare

ibasrvnt

2/14/2016 11:12 PM EST

They have a good quarter during Christmas I wouldn't get too excited.

I read that even though they are required to put up huge deposits for pensions, if they closed the doors tomorrow, they would be in serious trouble with the pensions.

Ignore User

LikeReportReplyShare

jonboy1989

2/15/2016 9:54 AM EST

I'd like to know where some of you people work, because the Postal Service is the only company Federal, State, or privately owned that is required to prefund \$75 billion in just 15 years, show me another required to do so!!!!!!

Ignore User

LikeReportReply

5

H_mmmm

2/14/2016 7:50 PM EST

One other observation. I had a certified letter with \$100 gift card stolen out of the Seattle post office. I know this because it fell off the radar in Seattle - it went in but never came out. I have to infer it was an employee. I filed a claim with the postal inspector - They were extremely polite and 13 months later I've never heard from them again. How can they not track theft of certified mail within their own building?

Ignore User

LikeReportReplyShare

Meeeeeeeeeeee

2/14/2016 9:01 PM EST

There are a million maybes. Maybe it was a one time thing. Maybe the letter fell behind some machinery and will be found 100 years from now when they move it. Maybe...maybe...maybe. I'm sure the number of reported incidents at that P.O. was looked into and was found to be low, so they're just not going to make a "federal case" out of it. Although it's annoying and bothersome to you, the loss of one piece of mail does not a crime wave make.

Ignore User

LikeReportReply

4

Skeptic1

2/15/2016 7:43 AM EST

You did insure it, right?

Ignore User

LikeReportReply

mflane

2/15/2016 10:01 AM EST

Probably not...

Ignore User

LikeReportShare

2

H_mmmm

2/14/2016 7:38 PM EST

Livemichaeln

I'm with you on Northern Virginia - If you want to protect your credit rating, you had better not depend on USPS to deliver your bill or your payment in a timely manner.

On Fridays we no longer get real mail at all - nothing but advertisements. I wonder why they bother to come in to the office on Friday. And the sorting is so bad that we get our neighbors mail all the time. Sometimes we get mail for addresses that are miles away, and who knows what mail is never received. It is just safer to put your trust in the internet. I guess I will contribute to the failure of the USPS, but I'm fine with that because the service is absurd.

Ignore User

LikeReportReplyShare

3

jonboy1989

2/15/2016 10:02 AM EST

Protect your credit, if you really want to protect it, mail your bill more than days before it is due. If you can't afford to pay a little sooner you shouldn't be using that credit card, use a debit card no worries if you pay when you buy!!!! Credit unharmed

Ignore User

LikeReportReply

1

livemichaeln

2/14/2016 5:58 PM EST

Here in Northern Virginia, when the massive snowstorm last month hit on a Saturday, the streets weren't plowed until Tuesday, but mail didn't start coming until Friday. Cant' figure what they did all week? Played cards in the backroom?

Ignore User

LikeReportReplyShare

2

jonboy1989

2/15/2016 10:05 AM EST

did you get out and shovel a path to your mailbox if their is no clear path no delivery!!!!

Ignore User

LikeReportReply

2

maktoo

2/15/2016 10:54 AM EST

If the employees had trouble leaving their suburban neighborhoods to get to work, how will the work get down in a timely fashion? When did YOUR street get plowed? Not everyone owns a 4-wheel drive vehicle.

Ignore User

LikeReportReply

Drafted

2/14/2016 5:01 PM EST

What Corporation, what Government Agency is required to complete a Fund in ten years that will pay for all "Company Portion of Retiree Health Benefits for employees hired seventy (75) years from now, and cannot raise any price on products or service it sells? (joking about the "Fund," it goes to General Treasury to be spent by Congress NOW) Why it's the Postal Service ONLY...and the Republican Congress and President passed this into Law in 2006...I guess because the 1-3 Billion yearly profits from the Postal Service wasn't enough for Congress to steal and rebuild Iraq's Postal Service and Communication system. Anyone have another idea about why they passed the Law???

Ignore User

LikeReportReplyShare

7

wtj1

2/14/2016 5:43 PM EST

USPS has to fully fund because it's management can not be trusted to meet it's retirement obligations.

Ask companies like Grainger why they refused to negotiate a contract after years of late payments, demands for pricing below cost and faulty purchase orders.

For the last 5 years they've overspent by BILLIONS of dollars per year.

This management culture can not be trusted.

Ignore User

LikeReportReply

1

jonboy1989

2/15/2016 10:12 AM EST

Please show reports of the billions of overspent money in the last 5 years. All i have seen is cut after cut....

Ignore User

LikeReportShare

2

Meeeeeeeeeeee

2/15/2016 3:03 PM EST

I bet you trust Congress with the money LOL. Want to buy a bridge?

Ignore User

LikeReportShare

Mark Lawrence

2/14/2016 3:59 PM EST

It will be interesting to see how many millions the Postal management will give itself in bonuses now. Is there any way of finding out when they do? They have done it in the past and they will do it now.

Ignore User

LikeReportReplyShare

1

readeverythingwithdoubt

2/14/2016 2:20 PM EST

I've already noticed a difference in my mail delivery. I'm not getting my mail consistently or as frequently as I used to. It appears to me the USPS is already making cuts and I don't like it. Why charge us so much for postage and then not deliver the mail as promised, or effectively? At what point do we say we need a complete overhaul of the postal service? It's not just that people are using them less, using online bill pay, sending email cards, etc. It's also evident the USPS isn't well organized. We need to do something about this.

Ignore User

LikeReportReplyShare

1

James E Martin III

2/14/2016 6:36 PM EST

The complete overhaul of the system is why your mail is inconsistent now. The standards got lowered to accommodate massive cuts in the number of processing facilities needed. The last round of cuts should never have been started and the standards should be reverted back to 2014 levels.

Ignore User

LikeReportReply

3

Meeeeeeeeeeee

2/14/2016 9:11 PM EST

I very much miss the rather new loss of the postal sorting facility at the airport in my town. I used to be able to count on first class mail and priority mail getting to, or arriving from anywhere in the country in 3 days, 4 days tops. Now I'm lucky to see something from 2 towns away in a week.

Ignore User

LikeReportShare

3

Cecil S. Chan

2/14/2016 2:20 PM EST

Federal Mail Service is important! Postal Money Orders are the safest way to send money even over the Internet. It is guaranteed by the US Government and even if the money order is stolen and cashed in the USPS will allow you to get your money back! The fact that is a USPS Money Order means the perpetrators are now felons and the LEO's and the

Federalis will both go after the perpetrator! The fact that the USPS has a much larger infrastructure than UPS, FEDEX, or DHL means we are able to get our packages sooner and cheaper than private companies who have "NO" policing powers to investigate mail or package theft. Just call the OIG Unit of the United States Postal Service who are Special Agents with the same rating as the FBI! If we fund the USPS by using this non dispensable Federal Service it will be more responsive to the American People at a time we are allowing private companies to operate in foreign countries like Communist China!!

This gives our enemies full advantage over our sovereignty and no protection to our fellow Americans making investors on Wall Street get rich and adds stooges in the USPS who regularly fire our dedicated Postal Workers who served in our US Armed Forces in order to convert our USPS into a private bunch of inside traders!!

Ignore User

LikeReportReplyShare

2

9 Lives

2/14/2016 1:58 PM EST

"Saying they wanted to continue providing "prompt, reliable and predictable service,""

Continue? Gee whiz, when did they ever START?

Ignore User

LikeReportReplyShare

2

4blazek

2/14/2016 12:48 PM EST [Edited]

It's not bad enough they deliver "junk mail" Monday thru Friday, Saturday surely is only adding insult to misery...

Ignore User

LikeReportReplyShare

2

Reading_Terminal

2/14/2016 1:10 PM EST

You do realize that your "junk mail" pays for its costs to the USPS, dont you?

Ignore User

LikeReportReply

8

9 Lives

2/14/2016 1:55 PM EST

Thank you, that was...irrelevent.

Ignore User

LikeReportShare

livemichaeln

2/14/2016 6:03 PM EST

What he meant was, that junk mail brings in the most money to keep the USPS running. Take First-class mail...49 cents to send a letter cross-country? How could any company run on that small an income?

Ignore User

LikeReportShare

5

mollyqt

2/14/2016 12:33 PM EST

jmaddock2 aren't you happy that a letter still cost as little as 45 cents? Take it to FedEx or ups I'm sure they will charge you a heck of a lot more. As far as the usps. goes I've been in there employ for almost 31 years. During that time I've seen good managers come and go mostly bad managers. Every one will be happy to know that the good ole boy system is still alive and well in the usps. Meaning if you are a manager and you have a friend he can get promoted before anyone else who is better suited to the job. Thank god I'm retiring soon

Ignore User

LikeReportReplyShare

dlbucs

2/14/2016 11:08 AM EST

Long live the USPS!

Ignore User

LikeReportReplyShare

10

El.Conejo

2/14/2016 10:54 AM EST

My 16 minute wait in line to get Postal Service at the counter, is the result of that net profit. 1 postal employee at the window with 2 supervisors helping the line as long as you don't need to purchase anything. And traveling 4 miles to the post office because the one 6 blocks away is only open 3 hours a day.

Ignore User

LikeReportReplyShare

7

jmaddock2

2/14/2016 11:02 AM EST

Thanks to the conservatives who control the purse strings of the USPS. If they had their way, mailing a letter would cost like fedex.

Ignore User

LikeReportReply

10

Reading_Terminal

2/14/2016 1:11 PM EST

Chalk up the limited hours on your nearest post office to the GOP requiring that the USPS fund future retirement obligations today.

As for the 16 min wait, boo hoo.

Ignore User

LikeReportReply

7

BarksintheCountry

2/14/2016 3:15 PM EST

Well when should retirement benefits be paid for? If the obligation is taken on today shouldn't the funds be put away to take care of that obligation today? Look to Illinois, and specifically Chicago, for the results of not funding future benefits. It just won't work forever.

Ignore User

LikeReportShare

5

James E Martin III

2/14/2016 6:45 PM EST

The money goes into the general fund

Postal service basically helps subsidize other agencies healthcare obligations..... Look at the studies on what would happen if the post office pulled out of the Federal Employee Health Benefits program.

Ignore User

LikeReportShare

2

Meeeeeeeeeeee

2/14/2016 9:23 PM EST

Barks. Ever hear of paying them as they accrue? Chicago played games with the money thinking the state would bail them out when the time came. Woops.

Ignore User

LikeReportShare

3

King Ayy Lamo

2/15/2016 8:45 AM EST

4 miles, really?

Complaining about 4 damn miles.